

HOEFFDING'S INEQUALITIES FOR GEOMETRICALLY ERGODIC MARKOV CHAINS ON GENERAL STATE SPACE*

Błażej Miasojedow

*B. Miasojedow
Institute of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics
University of Warsaw
Banacha 2, 02-097 Warszawa, Poland
e-mail: bmia@mimuw.edu.pl*

Abstract: We consider Markov chain X_n with spectral gap in L^2_π space. Assume that f is a bounded function on $\mathcal{X}$ with real values. Then the probabilities of large deviations of sums $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n f(X_k)$ satisfy Hoeffding's-type inequalities. These bounds depend only on the stationary mean πf , spectral gap and the end-points of support of f . We generalize the results of [LP04] in two directions. In our paper the state space is general and we do not assume reversibility.

AMS 2000 subject classifications: Primary 60J05, 65C05; secondary 62F15.

Keywords and phrases: Hoeffding's inequality, Spectral gap, Geometric ergodicity.

1. Introduction

Consider Markov chain $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$, with values in Polish space $\mathcal{X}$ with Borel σ -field $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ and stationary distribution π , and a function $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow [0, 1]$. Denote by $\mu = \pi f$ the stationary mean value of f . Let S_n be the partial sum of $f(X_n)$, i.e. $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n f(X_k)$. The main goal of this paper is to derive bounds of probabilities of large deviations for S_n . We prove theorems analogous to [LP04] in a more general setting: the state space is general and we do not assume reversibility. The following bound is a consequence of our main result:

1.1 Theorem. *If chain X_n is ψ -irreducible and if exists such λ that for every*

*Work partially supported by Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education Grants No. N N201387234 and N N201 608740

function g with $\pi g = 0$ the norm $\|Pg\|_\pi \leq \lambda$ a following inequality is satisfied

$$\mathbb{P}_\nu(S_n \geq n(\mu + \varepsilon)) \leq \left\| \frac{d\nu}{d\pi} \right\|_\pi \exp \left\{ -\frac{1-\lambda}{1+\lambda} \varepsilon^2 n \right\}.$$

Inequalities of this form can play an important role in Monte Carlo Markov chains (MCMC) algorithms because they bound the error of estimation. Results of this type have been obtained for uniformly ergodic chains in [GO02] and improved in [KLMM05]. In the case when the state space is discrete related results are obtained by [Lez98] and [LP04].

We use a similar technique as in [LP04]. The first step is to construct an associated chain X'_n and reduce the problem to properties of operator corresponding to $E_\pi \exp(tS'n)$. In the second step the problem is reduced to the two-state space case.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce our notations. The main results are established in Section 3. Finally, in Section 4 we discuss possibilities to check the existence of the spectral gap in non reversible case. Proofs of technical lemmas are postponed in Section 5.

2. Definitions and notation

Throughout this paper $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ represents ψ -irreducible Markov chain on a Polish space $\mathcal{X}$ with σ -field $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$, transition kernel $P(x, A)$ and stationary distribution π . Recall that Markov chain is ψ -irreducible if there exists non-trivial measure ψ such that for all $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ with $\psi(A) > 0$ and for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ we have $\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_A < \infty) > 0$, where τ_A is first return to set A , i.e. $\tau_A = \inf\{n \geq 1 : X_n \in A\}$.

The linear operator P associated with transition kernel $P(x, A)$ acts to the right on functions and to the left on measures, so that

$$Pg(x) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} g(y)P(x, dy), \quad \nu P(A) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} P(x, A)\nu(dx).$$

For every measure ν on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ and every function $g : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we denote:

$$\nu g = \int_{\mathcal{X}} g(x)\nu(dx), \quad g \otimes \nu(x, A) = g(x)\nu(A).$$

Consider P as an operator on Hilbert space L^2_π , the space of functions such that $\pi(f^2) < \infty$, with inner product $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{X}} f(x)g(x)\pi(dx)$. The norm in L^2_π is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_\pi$. As usual, the norm of operator T on L^2_π is defined by

$$\|T\|_{L^2(\pi)} = \sup_{\{f : \|f\|_\pi = 1\}} \|Tf\|_\pi.$$

3. Main result

We assume that transition operator satisfies:

3.1 Assumption.

$$\|P - \Pi\|_{L^2(\pi)} = \lambda < 1,$$

where $\Pi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1 \otimes \pi$.

3.2 REMARK. Note that this assumption for reversible chains is equivalent to existing spectral gap and hence is equivalent to geometric ergodicity [KM09, RR97]. In non reversible case exist geometrically ergodic chains, such that Assumption 3.1 doesn't hold even for any of the n -step transition operators [KM09]. We will discuss this issue in more detail in Section 4.

Let f be a function from $\mathcal{X}$ to $[0, 1]$ and let S_n be a sum $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n f(X_k)$.

3.3 Theorem. *Let X_n be ψ -irreducible Markov chain with stationary distribution π . Moreover let $1 - \lambda$ be a spectral gap of transition kernel P . Then the following bounds hold for all $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\mu + \varepsilon < 1$:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_\pi(S_n \geq n(\mu + \varepsilon)) &\leq \left[\frac{\mu + \bar{\mu}\lambda}{1 - 2\frac{\bar{\mu} - \varepsilon}{1 + \sqrt{\Delta}}} \right]^{n(\mu + \varepsilon)} \left[\frac{\bar{\mu} + \mu\lambda}{1 - 2\frac{\mu + \varepsilon}{1 + \sqrt{\Delta}}} \right]^{n(\bar{\mu} - \varepsilon)} \\ &\leq \exp \left\{ -2 \frac{1 - \lambda}{1 + \lambda} \varepsilon^2 n \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Delta = 1 + \frac{4\lambda(\mu + \varepsilon)(\bar{\mu} - \varepsilon)}{\mu\bar{\mu}(1 - \lambda)^2}, \quad \bar{\mu} = 1 - \mu.$$

To prove Theorem 3.3 we need to consider a new Markov chain $(X'_n)_{n \geq 1}$ defined by the transition kernel Q such that for all $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$,

$$Q(x, A) = (1 - \lambda)\pi(A) + \lambda \mathbb{1}(x \in A),$$

where λ appear in Assumption 3.1.

For any bounded linear operator T on L_π^2 and any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ define operator $\widehat{T}_t(g) = e^{\frac{t}{2}f} T(e^{\frac{t}{2}f} g)$.

3.4 Lemma. *If S_n is defined as above and P satisfies 3.1, then*

$$\mathbb{E}_\pi \exp(tS_n) \leq \left\| \widehat{Q}_t \right\|_{L^2(\pi)}^n$$

As in [LP04] we define a two-state chain. Let $(Y_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a Markov chain on space $\{0, 1\}$ with transition matrix with second largest eigenvalue $\lambda \geq 0$ and

stationary distribution $\mu := [1 - \mu, \mu]' := [\bar{\mu}, \mu]'$. Then transition matrix can be written as

$$M_{\mu, \lambda} = \lambda \mathbb{I} + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{1} \mu'.$$

Let $D_t^2 = \text{diag}(1, e^t)$ and denote by θ_t the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue of $D_t^2 M_{\mu, \lambda}$. By the same arguments as in Theorem 2 from [LP04] we obtain:

3.5 Theorem. *Let X'_n have transition kernel Q and let $\mu = \mathbb{E}_\pi f(X'_k)$. Then for every convex function $G : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we have*

$$\mathbb{E}_\pi [G(f(X'_1) + \dots + f(X'_n))] \leq \mathbb{E}_\mu [G(Y_1 + \dots + Y_n)],$$

where Y_n is a Markov chain with transition matrix $M_{\mu, \lambda}$.

We define function $g(x) := \frac{e^{\frac{t}{2}f(x)}}{r_t - \lambda e^{tf(x)}}$. If r_t is solution of equation

$$1 = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \frac{(1 - \lambda)e^{tf(x)}}{r_t - \lambda e^{tf(x)}} \pi(dx) \quad (3.6)$$

and if $r_t > \lambda \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} (e^{tf(x)})$ then function g is positive (π a.s.) and is an eigenfunction of $\hat{Q}_t$ with eigenvalue r_t . Unfortunately the equation (3.6) equation for some functions f can have no solution. To avoid this problem let's approximate function f by function with finite number of values. For all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ define f_k as

$$f_k(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{i}{k} 1(x \in A_{i,k}),$$

where $A_{i,k} := \{x \in \mathcal{X} : \frac{i-1}{k} < f(x) \leq \frac{i}{k}\}$. We define μ_k and $\hat{Q}_{t,k}$ by replacing f instead f_k in definitions of μ and $\hat{Q}_t$ respectively. Operator Q is positive (i.e., if $h \geq 0$ then $Qh \geq 0$) so operator $\hat{Q}_t$ is positive to and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{Q}_t\|_{L^2(\pi)} &= \sup_{\{h \geq 0 : \|h\|_\pi = 1\}} \langle h, \hat{Q}_t h \rangle \\ &\leq \sup_{\{h \geq 0 : \|h\|_\pi = 1\}} \langle h, \hat{Q}_{t,k} h \rangle \\ &= \|\hat{Q}_{t,k}\|_{L^2(\pi)} \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

By dominated convergence theorem $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_k = \mu$. Let $\theta_t(x)$ be the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue of $D_t^2 M_{x, \lambda}$. Function $\theta_t(x)$ is continuous so $\theta_{t,k} := \theta_t(\mu_k)$ converge to θ_t if k tends to infinity. First we show that (3.6) has solution for any function f_k . We consider function

$$F(r) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \frac{(1 - \lambda)e^{tf_k(x)}}{r - \lambda e^{tf_k(x)}} \pi(dx),$$

for $r > \lambda \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} (e^{tf_k(x)})$ this function is continuous. If r tends to infinity then $F(r)$ tends to zero. Moreover exist $1 \leq j \leq k$ such that $a := e^{t\frac{j}{k}} =$

$\text{ess sup}_{x \in \mathcal{X}}(e^{tf_k(x)})$ and π -measure of set $C_a := \{x \in \mathcal{X} : a = e^{tf_k(x)}\}$ is equal to $d > 0$. So if r tends to λa then $F(r)$ tends to infinity. Hence exists $r_{t,k}$ such that $F(r_{t,k}) = 1$ and $g_k(x) := \frac{e^{\frac{t}{2}f(x)}}{r_{t,k} - \lambda e^{tf(x)}}$ is an eigenfunction of $\hat{Q}_{t,k}$ with eigenvalue $r_{t,k}$

The next Lemma completes the preparations for the proof of Theorem 3.3.

3.8 Lemma. *With the above notation for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ we have the following.*

(i)

$$r_{t,k} = \left\| \hat{Q}_{t,k} \right\|_{L^2(\pi)}$$

(ii)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{E}_\pi \exp \left(t \sum_{i=1}^n f_k(X'_i) \right) = \log(r_{t,k})$$

Proof of Theorem 3.3.. By Markov's inequality for all $t > 0$ we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}_\pi(S_n \geq n(\mu + \varepsilon)) \leq e^{-tn(\mu + \varepsilon)} \mathbb{E}_\pi e^{tS_n}. \quad (3.9)$$

By Lemma 3.4 and (3.7) we have

$$\mathbb{E}_\pi e^{tS_n} \leq \left\| \hat{Q}_t \right\|_{L^2(\pi)}^n \leq \left\| \hat{Q}_{t,k} \right\|_{L^2(\pi)}^n.$$

Let $Y_1^k, \dots, Y_n^k$ be a Markov chain with transition matrix $M_{\mu_k, \lambda}$. From Theorem 3.5 and Lemma 3.8 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \log(\left\| \hat{Q}_{t,k} \right\|_{L^2(\pi)}) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{E}_\pi \exp \left(t \sum_{i=1}^n f_k(X'_i) \right) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{E}_\mu \exp \left(t \sum_{i=1}^n f(Y_i^k) \right) \\ &= \log(\theta_{t,k}), \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\mathbb{E}_\pi e^{tS_n} \leq \theta_{t,k}^n.$$

We tend with k to infinity and obtain that

$$\mathbb{E}_\pi e^{tS_n} \leq \theta_t^n.$$

By Proposition 2 of [LP04] we complete the proof.

□

3.10 Corollary. *With assumptions as in Theorem 3.3. For all $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\mu + \varepsilon \leq 1$ and for all measures $\nu \ll \pi$ we have:*

$$\mathbb{P}_\nu(S_n \geq n(\mu + \varepsilon)) \leq \left\| \frac{d\nu}{d\pi} \right\|_p \exp \left\{ -2 \frac{1 - \lambda}{q(1 + \lambda)} \varepsilon^2 n \right\},$$

where

$$\left\| \frac{d\nu}{d\pi} \right\|_p = \begin{cases} \left(\int_{\mathcal{X}} \left| \frac{d\nu}{d\pi} \right|^p d\pi \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} & \text{if } p < \infty \\ \text{ess sup}_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \left| \frac{d\nu}{d\pi}(x) \right| & \text{if } p = \infty \end{cases}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1.$$

Proof. From Hölder's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_\nu(S_n \geq n(\mu + \varepsilon)) &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathbb{P}_x(S_{n-1} \geq n(\mu + \varepsilon) - f(x)) \frac{d\nu}{d\pi}(x) \pi(dx) \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{d\nu}{d\pi} \right\|_p \left(\int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathbb{P}_x(S_{n-1} \geq n(\mu + \varepsilon) - f(x))^q \pi(dx) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{d\nu}{d\pi} \right\|_p \left(\int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathbb{P}_x(S_{n-1} \geq n(\mu + \varepsilon) - f(x)) \pi(dx) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left\| \frac{d\nu}{d\pi} \right\|_p \mathbb{P}_\pi(S_n \geq n(\mu + \varepsilon))^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

4. Spectral gap

In this section we discuss Assumption 3.1 and more general existence of spectral gap in L^2_π for non reversible Markov chains. We start with definition of the spectral gap.

4.1 Definition. *We say that transition kernel admits spectral gap $1 - \rho$ iff*

$$\sup \{z : z \in \sigma(P) \setminus \{1\}\} = \rho < 1,$$

where $\sigma(\cdot)$ denotes spectrum of operator in $L^2(\pi)$ space (i.e. $z \in \sigma(P) \iff P - z\mathbb{I}$ is non-reversible operator in $L^2(\pi)$ space.)

By spectral radius formula the Definition 4.1 is equivalent to existence of n_0 such that $\|P - \Pi\|_{L^2(\pi)} < 1$. Hence Assumption 3.1 implies that kernel P admits spectral gap, reverse if kernel P has the spectral gap ρ then exist n_0 such that the n_0 -step kernel P^{n_0} satisfies 3.1.

In addition for reversible chains existence of spectral gap implies 3.1 for kernel P and is equivalent to geometric ergodicity (see for details [KM09, RR97]). So for reversible case Assumption 3.1 is well investigated and it is well known how to check this.

We will focus on non-reversible case. Let P be the non-reversible transition kernel, we will construct a new kernel which is reversible and we will present Assumption 3.1 and next spectral gap property in terms of this new kernel. For transition operator P let P^* denotes an adjoint operator. It's easy to check that P^* is also transition operator. Since state space $\mathcal{X}$ is a Polish space there exist a Markov chain $(X_n^*)_{n \geq 1}$ with transition kernel $P^*(x, A) := P^*\mathbb{I}(x \in A)$. By definition of adjoint operators transition kernel satisfies

$$\int_A \int_B P(x, dy) \pi(dx) = \int_A \int_B P^*(y, dx) \pi(dy),$$

or shorter

$$\pi(dx)P(x, dy) = \pi(dy)P^*(y, dx).$$

In general is often not possible or very difficult to find exact expressions for P^* but in several cases it's easy. Below example show one of this situations.

4.2 EXAMPLE. Lets consider deterministic scan Gibbs sampler on product space $\mathcal{X}^d$ with transition kernel $P = P_1 P_2 \cdots P_d$. The i -th component P_i of the Gibbs sampler P replaces x_i by a draw from the conditional distribution $\pi(x_i | x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_d)$. Assume that π and all conditional distribution have densities, then density of transition kernel $P_i(x, y) = \pi(y_i | x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_d)$ if $y_j = x_j$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, i-1, i+1, \dots, d\}$ and $P_i(x, y) = 0$ otherwise. Hence for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}^d$ and all $1 \leq i \leq d$ we have

$$\pi(dx)P_i(x, dy) = \pi(dy)P_i(y, dx)$$

and further $P_i = P_i^*$. Thus $P^* = P_d P_{d-1} \cdots P_1$, so P^* is also Gibbs sampler but with reverse order of components.

Next proposition gives us tools to checking Assumption 3.1 or existence of spectral gap.

4.3 Proposition. *For any transition kernel P , adjoint kernel P^* and all $n > 0$ we have*

$$\|P^n - \Pi\|_{L^2(\pi)}^2 = \|P^n P^{*n} - \Pi\|_{L^2(\pi)}.$$

Proof. For any given n we have

$$\|P^n - \Pi\|_{L^2(\pi)}^2 = \|(P^n - \Pi)(P^n - \Pi)^*\|_{L^2(\pi)}. \quad (4.4)$$

Since π is a stationary distribution for both kernels P and P^* by definition of Π we obtain

$$(P^n - \Pi)(P^n - \Pi)^* = P^n P^{*n} - P^n \Pi - \Pi P^{*n} - \Pi^2 = P^n P^{*n} - \Pi \quad (4.5)$$

Applying (4.5) to (4.4) we finish the proof. $\square$

There are, necessarily non-reversible, geometrically ergodic chains that not admits spectral gap in $L^2(\pi)$. See [KM09] for examples. Thus implies that these chains Assumption 3.1 is not satisfied for all n -step kernels P^n . Furthermore the next example shows that even if the chain with kernel is uniformly ergodic then 3.1 could be not holden.

4.6 EXAMPLE. Let $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be the Markov chain on space $\{0, 1, 2\}$ with transition probabilities as follow

$$\begin{aligned} P(0, 0) &= \frac{1}{2}, \\ P(0, 1) &= \frac{1}{2}, \\ P(1, 2) &= 1, \\ P(2, 0) &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

otherwise transition probabilities are equal zero. This chain is aperiodic and irreducible on finite state space, so is uniformly ergodic. It is easy to check that stationary distribution is $\pi = [1/2, 1/4, 1/4]'$. Transition probability from state 2 to 1 for adjoint kernel satisfies $\pi(2)P^*(2, 1) = \pi(1)P(1, 2)$ since $\pi(1) = \pi(2)$ then $P^*(2, 1) = 1/2$. Hence $PP^*(1, 1) = 1$ and Markov chain with kernel PP^* , is reversible and not ergodic. So by Proposition 1.2 from [KM09] kernel PP^* no admits the spectral gap, and by reversibility we have that $\|PP^* - \Pi\|_{L^2(\pi)} = 1$. Proposition 4.3 implies that the Assumption 3.1 is not satisfied for Markov chain $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$.

Next proposition summarizes presented properties of spectral gap in terms of kernel PP^* .

4.7 Proposition. *For any transition kernel P we have:*

- a) P holds Assumption 3.1 $\iff$ Markov chain with transition kernel PP^* is geometrically ergodic.
- b) P admits spectral gap $\iff$ exists n such that Markov chain with transition kernel $P^n P^{n*}$ is geometrically ergodic.

Proof. First part is true by Proposition 4.3 and fact that for reversible chains spectral gap property, Assumption 3.1 and geometrically ergodicity are equivalent. Second part is obtained by the same arguments combined with the fact that chain admits spectral gap iff exists n that $\|P^n - \Pi\|_{L^2(\pi)}^2 < 1$. $\square$

4.8 Corollary. *If Markov chain with transition kernel P is uniformly ergodic. So P satisfies small set condition with $\mathcal{X}$ as a small set (i.e. exists $h > 0, \beta > 0$ and measure ν such that $P^h(x, A) \geq \beta\nu(A)$) then kernel P^h holds Assumption 3.1.*

To proof this corollary we need simple Lemma, proof of this Lemma is postponed to Section 5.

4.9 Lemma. *If for some set C with positive π -measure, $\beta > 0$ and some probabilistic measure ν we have:*

$$P(x, A) \geq \beta \mathbb{I}(x \in C) \nu(A) \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}), x \in \mathcal{X}, \quad (4.10)$$

then

$$PP^*(x, A) \geq \frac{1}{4} \beta^2 \mathbb{I}(x \in C) \pi(C \cap A) \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}), x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Proof. From Lemma 4.9 we obtain that Markov chain with transition kernel $P^h P^{*h}$ is uniformly ergodic and by Proposition 4.7 we complete the proof. $\square$

We show that L^2 space theory can be used to non-reversible chains. We construct a new kernel PP^* which is reversible and its easy to state spectral properties of P in terms PP^* . In practice usually is hard to explore chains with kernel PP^* , until we can not compute its density. State checkable condition only in terms of kernel P is crucial for the application of this theory, and needs further investigation.

5. Proofs of lemmas

Proof of Lemma 3.4. We know from Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_\pi \exp(tS_n) &= \langle 1, (e^{tf} P)^n 1 \rangle = \left\langle e^{\frac{t}{2}f}, \hat{P}_t^{n-1} e^{\frac{t}{2}f} \right\rangle \\ &\leq \left\| e^{\frac{t}{2}f} \right\|_\pi^2 \left\| \hat{P}_t \right\|_{L^2(\pi)}^{n-1} = \pi(e^{tf}) \left\| \hat{P}_t \right\|_{L^2(\pi)}^{n-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

For any function g denote its centered version by $g_C := g - \pi(g)$ and by $P_0 := P - \Pi$. Since P satisfies 3.1 then by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \widehat{P}_t \right\|_{L^2(\pi)} &= \sup_{\{g, h : \|g\|_\pi = \|h\|_\pi = 1\}} \left\langle h, \widehat{P}_t g \right\rangle \\
&= \sup_{\{g, h : \|g\|_\pi = \|h\|_\pi = 1\}} \left\langle e^{\frac{t}{2}f} h, P e^{\frac{t}{2}f} g \right\rangle \\
&= \sup_{\{g, h : \|g\|_\pi = \|h\|_\pi = 1\}} \left\{ \pi(e^{\frac{t}{2}f} h) \pi(e^{\frac{t}{2}f} g) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left\langle (e^{\frac{t}{2}f} h)_C, P_0 (e^{\frac{t}{2}f} g)_C \right\rangle \right\} \\
&\leq \sup_{\{g, h : \|g\|_\pi = \|h\|_\pi = 1\}} \left\{ \pi(e^{\frac{t}{2}f} h) \pi(e^{\frac{t}{2}f} g) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \lambda \left\| (e^{\frac{t}{2}f} h)_C \right\|_\pi \left\| (e^{\frac{t}{2}f} g)_C \right\|_\pi \right\} \\
&\leq \sup_{\{g, h : \|g\|_\pi = \|h\|_\pi = 1\}} \left\{ \sqrt{\left[\pi(e^{\frac{t}{2}f} g) \right]^2 + \lambda \left\| (e^{\frac{t}{2}f} g)_C \right\|_\pi^2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \sqrt{\left[\pi(e^{\frac{t}{2}f} h) \right]^2 + \lambda \left\| (e^{\frac{t}{2}f} h)_C \right\|_\pi^2} \right\} \\
&\leq \sup_{\{g : \|g\|_\pi = 1\}} \left\{ \left[\pi(e^{\frac{t}{2}f} g) \right]^2 + \lambda \left\| (e^{\frac{t}{2}f} g)_C \right\|_\pi^2 \right\} \\
&= \sup_{\{g : \|g\|_\pi = 1\}} \left\langle e^{\frac{t}{2}f} g, \pi(e^{\frac{t}{2}f} g) + \lambda (e^{\frac{t}{2}f} g)_C \right\rangle \\
&= \left\| \widehat{Q}_t \right\|_{L^2(\pi)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(e^{tf}) \left\| \widehat{Q}_t \right\|_{L^2(\pi)} &\geq \left\langle e^{\frac{t}{2}f}, \widehat{Q}_t e^{\frac{t}{2}f} \right\rangle = \left\langle e^{tf}, Q e^{tf} \right\rangle = \pi(e^{tf})^2 \\
&\quad + \lambda \pi \left((e^{\frac{t}{2}f})_C^2 \right) \geq \pi(e^{tf})^2
\end{aligned}$$

and that completes the proof. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 3.8. Ad. (i) Suppose that $\left\| \widehat{Q}_{t,k} \right\|_{L^2(\pi)} > r_{t,k}$ since Q is self-adjoint operator and g_k is an eigenfunction of this operator then exists a sequence of function h_n such that following conditions are hold:

1. for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$ $\|h_n\|_\pi = 1$ and

$$h_n \perp g_k \tag{5.2}$$

2. sequence of inner products $\langle h_n, \widehat{Q}_{t,k} h_n \rangle$ is non-decreasing and for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\left\| \widehat{Q}_{t,k} \right\|_{L^2(\pi)} \leq \langle h_n, \widehat{Q}_{t,k} h_n \rangle + \frac{1}{n} \quad (5.3)$$

Function g_k is positive and

$$C_1 = \frac{1}{r_{t,k}} \leq g_k \leq \lambda \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} g_k(x) = \lambda C_2 \quad \pi \text{ a.s.} \quad (5.4)$$

From (5.2) it follows that functions $h_n^+ = \max(h_n, 0)$ and $h_n^- = \max(-h_n, 0)$ satisfy

$$\pi h_n^+ \leq \frac{\lambda C_2}{C_1} \pi h_n^- = C_3 \pi h_n^-$$

and

$$\pi h_n^- \leq \frac{\lambda C_2}{C_1} \pi h_n^+ = C_3 \pi h_n^+. \quad (5.5)$$

For all functions h_n

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h_n, \widehat{Q}_{t,k} h_n \rangle &= (1 - \lambda) \left[\pi(e^{\frac{t}{2} f_k} h_n) \right]^2 + \lambda \pi(e^{t f_k} h_n^2) \\ &= (1 - \lambda) \left[\left(\pi(e^{\frac{t}{2} f_k} h_n^+) \right)^2 - 2 \pi(e^{\frac{t}{2} f_k} h_n^+) \pi(e^{\frac{t}{2} f_k} h_n^-) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\pi(e^{\frac{t}{2} f_k} h_n^-) \right)^2 \right] + \lambda \pi(e^{t f_k} h_n^2). \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

Operator $\widehat{Q}_{t,k}$ is positive so for all functions h we have $\langle |h|, \widehat{Q}_{t,k} |h| \rangle \geq \langle h, \widehat{Q}_{t,k} h \rangle$. Functions h_n^+, h_n^- are positive so from (5.3)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} &\geq \langle |h_n|, \widehat{Q}_{t,k} |h_n| \rangle - \langle h_n, \widehat{Q}_{t,k} h_n \rangle \\ &= 4(1 - \lambda) \pi(e^{\frac{t}{2} f_k} h_n^+) \pi(e^{\frac{t}{2} f_k} h_n^-) \\ &\geq 4(1 - \lambda) \pi(h_n^+) \pi(h_n^-) \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

Finally from (5.5), (5.6), (5.7) and the definition of $r_{t,k}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h_n, \widehat{Q}_{t,k} h_n \rangle &\leq (1 - \lambda) \left[\left(\pi(e^{\frac{t}{2} f_k} h_n^+) \right)^2 + \left(\pi(e^{\frac{t}{2} f_k} h_n^-) \right)^2 \right] + \lambda \pi(e^{t f_k} h_n^2) \\ &\leq e^t (1 - \lambda) \left[(\pi(h_n^+))^2 + (\pi(h_n^-))^2 \right] + r_{t,k} \\ &\leq \frac{e^t C_3}{2n} + r_{t,k} \end{aligned}$$

Tending to infinity with n we obtain $\left\| \widehat{Q}_{t,k} \right\|_{L^2(\pi)} \leq r_{t,k}$.

Ad. (ii) Since g_k is an eigenfunction with eigenvalue $r_{t,k}$ we have for all n

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\widehat{Q}_{t,k}\|_{L^2(\pi)} &= r_{t,k} \\
&= \left(\frac{\langle g_k, \widehat{Q}_{t,k}^{n-1} g_k \rangle}{\|g_k\|_\pi^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \\
&\leq \left(\frac{C_2^2}{\|g_k\|_\pi^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \left\langle e^{\frac{t}{2}f_k}, \widehat{Q}_{t,k}^{n-1} e^{\frac{t}{2}f_k} \right\rangle^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \\
&\leq \left(\frac{C_2^2}{\|g_k\|_\pi^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \|\widehat{Q}_{t,k}\|_{L^2(\pi)} \\
&= C_4^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \|\widehat{Q}_{t,k}\|_{L^2(\pi)}
\end{aligned}$$

but $\mathbb{E}_\pi \exp(t \sum_{i=1}^n f_k(X'_i)) = \langle e^{\frac{t}{2}f_k}, \widehat{Q}_{t,k}^{n-1} e^{\frac{t}{2}f_k} \rangle$. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 4.9. Without loss of generality we can assume $A \cap C \neq \emptyset$. Let $x \in C$, then:

$$PP^*(x, A) = \int P^*(z, A) P(x, dz) \geq \beta \int P^*(z, A \cap C) \nu(dz) \quad (5.8)$$

By integrating (4.10) we obtain that ν with respect to π , let $\frac{d\nu}{d\pi}$ its density. Define set $D(\varepsilon) := \{x \in \mathcal{X} : \frac{d\nu}{d\pi} \geq \varepsilon\}$. Since $\int_{\mathcal{X}} \frac{d\nu}{d\pi} \pi(dx) = 1$ and $\frac{d\nu}{d\pi} \geq 0$, so for all $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$ we have $\pi(D(\varepsilon)) > 0$. From (5.8) we obtain:

$$PP^*(x, A) \geq \beta \int_{D(\varepsilon)} \int_{A \cap C} \varepsilon P^*(z, dy) \pi(dz) = \beta \varepsilon \int_{D(\varepsilon)} \int_{A \cap C} \pi(dy) P(y, dz). \quad (5.9)$$

Hence

$$PP^*(x, A) \geq \beta^2 \varepsilon \nu(D(\varepsilon)) \pi(A \cap C). \quad (5.10)$$

To finish proof we need to bound $\nu(D(\varepsilon))$

$$\nu(D(\varepsilon)^C) = \int_{D(\varepsilon)^C} \frac{d\nu}{d\pi}(x) \pi(dx) \leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathcal{X}} \pi(dx) = \varepsilon,$$

so $\nu(D(\varepsilon)) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$. Optimize (5.10) with respect to ε yields

$$PP^*(x, A) \geq \frac{1}{4} \beta^2 \pi(A \cap C). \quad (5.11)$$

$\square$

Acknowledgements

Author thanks Witold Bednorz, Krzysztof Łatuszyński and Wojciech Niemiro for helpful comments.

References

- [GO02] P.W. Glynn and D. Ormoneit. Hoeffding's inequality for uniformly ergodic Markov chains. *Statistics & probability letters*, 56(2):143–146, 2002.
- [KLMM05] I. Kontoyiannis, L.A. Lastras-Montano, and S.P. Meyn. Relative entropy and exponential deviation bounds for general Markov chains. In *IEEE, International Symposium on Information Theory*, pages 1563–1567. IEEE, 2005.
- [KM09] I. Kontoyiannis and S.P. Meyn. Geometric Ergodicity and the Spectral Gap of Non-Reversible Markov Chains. *Arxiv preprint arXiv:0906.5322*, 2009.
- [Lez98] Pascal Lezard. Chernoff-type bound for finite markov chains. *Annals of Applied Probability*, Vol. 8 (1998), no. 3, pp. 849–867, 1998.
- [LP04] C.A. León and F. Perron. Optimal Hoeffding bounds for discrete reversible Markov chains. *Annals of Applied Probability*, pages 958–970, 2004.
- [RR97] G.O. Roberts and J.S. Rosenthal. Geometric ergodicity and hybrid Markov chains. *Electron. Comm. Probab*, 2(2):13–25, 1997.